

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,915	FULLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Donghai D. Nguyen	Art Unit 3729	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Donghai D. Nguyen</u> .	(3) <u>Minh Trinh</u> .		
(2) <u>Lawrence R. Fraley</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>28 February 2006</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	N/A		
Claims discussed:	Of Record		
Prior art documents discussed:	N/A		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Mr. Fraley has agreed to make change to the claim languages as suggested by the Examiner in order to place the case in condition for allowance (see Examiner's Amendment).</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>		<hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	
<hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>		<hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	